



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMML Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
_		٦	EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•		09/430,806	VINKEMEIER ET	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Karen A. Lacourciere	1635					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ac	ddress				
Period for Reply								
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period veroely within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum owill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered time  MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely communication.				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08.	June 2001 .						
2a) □		is action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		matters, prosecution as to t	he merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
,	4) Claim(s) 58-65 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 60 and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 56-59 and 62-65 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 1999</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
=	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H.S	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	if priority under 60 0.0	7.5. 3 1 10(a) (a) 51 (i).					
a)!		ts have been received.						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
* (	application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(	a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	at(s)							
2) 🚺 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper N ce of Informal Patent Application (P r					
L								

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/430,806

Art Unit: 1635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims of Group II and Group III would overlap in search and, therefore, would not impose a burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because the search of the methods of Group II and Group III would require a completely different search within each class and subclass, as well as in the scientific literature. Although there may be classes and subclasses common to both Group II and III, the search would not be coextensive.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1, 60 and 61 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 6.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/430,806

Art Unit: 1635

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 56 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McKnight et al. (reference AC on PTO form 1449, US Patent No 5,710,266).

McKnight et al. disclose an assay to determine drugs which modulate the dimerization of IL-4 STAT protein (see for example column 4-5). Therefore, McKnight et al. anticipates claims 56 and 59.

Claims 56-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leonard (US Patent No 6,265,160).

Leonard disclose assays to determine drugs which interfere with the activity of STAT3 and STAT5 proteins. Leonard et disclose these assays as determining agents (eg peptides) which interfere with the dimerization or heterodimerization of STAT3 or STAT5 proteins (see for example column 13-14). Therefore, Leonard anticipates claims 56-59.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/430,806

Art Unit: 1635

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 52-59 and 62-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leonard in view of Xu et al. (reference BB on PTO form 1449) further in view of Schreiber et al.

Claims 62-65 are drawn to methods of identifying a drug which modulates the ability of adjacent STAT dimers to interact wherein compounds are tested for their ability to modulate the interaction of a polypeptide which consists essentially of the amino terminal domain of a STAT polypeptide with a second STAT polypeptide comprising the amino terminal domain of said second STAT protein. Specific embodiments include identifying drugs which interfere with the interaction of STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4, STAT5A, STAT5B or STAT6 and wherein the interaction is heterodimerization or homodimerization.

Application/Control Number: 09/430,806

Art Unit: 1635

Leonard teaches assays to identify drugs which modulate the activity of STAT proteins, including STAT3 and STAT5 and further teach assays which interfere with the homodimerization or heterodimerization of STAT proteins (see for example column 13-14).

Leonard does not teach assays to identify drugs which modulate the homodimerization or heterodimerization of STAT proteins wherein one STAT protein consists essentially of the amino terminal domain of said STAT protein. Further, Leonard does not teach assays to identify inhibitors of STAT1, STAT2 or STAT4 homodimerization or heterodimerization.

Schreiber et al. teaches assays which identify drugs which interfere with the transcriptional activation of STAT protein family members, including STAT 1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4 and STAT5.

Xu et al. teach that the amino terminal domain of STAT protein family members is essential for dimerization and cooperative DNA binding.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to assay for drugs which inhibit the heterodimerization or homodimerization of STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4 or STAT5 because Leonard teach identifying drugs which interfere with the heterodimerization or homodimerization of STAT proteins, specifically STAT3 and STAT5, interfere with transcriptional activation of STAT proteins and Schreiber et al. teach identifying drugs which modulate the transcriptional activation of STAT proteins, including STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4 and STAT5 and Xu et al. teach that heterodimerization or homodimerization of STAT proteins is important for transcriptional activation of said proteins. It would have been further

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/430,806

Art Unit: 1635

obvious to perform the assays identifying drugs which modulate the heterodimerization or homodimerization of these STAT proteins using the amino terminal domain of one of the STAT proteins because Xu et al. identify the amino terminal domain of STAT proteins as the domain essential for heterodimerization or homodimerization. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to perform the assays taught by Leonard for other STAT proteins, including STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4 and STAT5, because Schreiber et al. teach drugs inhibiting STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4 and STAT5 are useful for the treatment of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. One skilled in the art would be motivated to perform these assays using the amino terminal domain of said STAT proteins because Xu et al. teach that the amino terminal domain is the domain responsible for or homodimerization.

Therefore, at the time the instant invention was made, the inventions of claims 52-59 and 62-65 would have been obvious, as a whole, to one of ordinary skill in the art.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen A. Lacourciere whose telephone number is (703) 308-7523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Art Unit: 1635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader, can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Karen A. Lacourciere

August 22, 2001

SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER